Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/928,890	MCDONALD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Sabiha Qazi	1616	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Ph.D.</u>		
(1) Sabiha Qazi, Ph.D. (Examiner).	(3)		
(2) <u>David M. Kohn (Attorney)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 25 September 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Yes			
Claims discussed: Yes			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)	
. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

**Application No. 09/928,890** 

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: EXaminer called about the status of this application. The issues surrounding this application, claims and declaration were discussed. Examiner told Mr. Kohn that if there is any criticality of the invention Applicants should amend the claims accordingly and explain how there invention would not have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention was made.